

HVAC RETROFIT MODELLING EXERCISE

EXPLORING SAVINGS FOR LOW-RISE MULTI-UNIT RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS (MURBS)

The following modelling exercise describes a heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) retrofit for a low-rise multi-unit residential building in the Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area. The building owner wanted to explore the potential savings of installing mini-split heat pumps in each residential unit. Existing electric baseboard heaters would be kept for backup heating during occasional periods of extreme cold when outdoor temperatures drop below the heating capacity of cold-climate heat pumps.

APARTMENT BUILDING

| Size | 16,632 square feet |
|------------|-------------------------------------|
| Location | Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area |
| Year built | 1961 |
| Floors | Three (including one half basement) |
| Units | 20 |





EXISTING MECHANICAL SYSTEMS

| System | Description | | |
|--------------------|---|--|--|
| Heating | Electric baseboards. | | |
| Heating efficiency | Seasonal efficiency of 100%. | | |
| Cooling | No central air conditioner (AC). Assume that units have window- mounted ACs. | | |
| Cooling efficiency | Seasonal coefficient of performance (COP) of 2.5. | | |
| Controls | Heating is controlled by manual thermostats. | | |
| Domestic hot water | Electric water heater with 98% efficiency. | | |
| | · | | |

| RETROFIT DETAILS | |
|--------------------|---|
| System | Description |
| Heating | Keep electric baseboards for backup heating and install mini-split air-source heat pump systems in each unit. |
| Heating efficiency | Heating Seasonal Performance Factor (HSPF) of 8.5. Standalone energy recovery ventilators with 70% efficiency. Existing electrical service capacity is suitable for the heat pump installation. |
| Cooling | Mini-split air-source heat pump systems. |
| Cooling efficiency | Seasonal heat pump cooling COP of 4. |
| Controls | Scheduling controls are available for the heat pump equipment via smart thermostats. |
| Domestic hot water | N/A (no retrofit proposed). |
| | |





MODELLED ENERGY AND FUEL COST OUTPUT SUMMARY

The results below are from a preliminary analysis of the proposed HVAC retrofit using Natural Resource Canada's RETScreen Expert software. The model accounts only for energy associated with existing and proposed HVAC systems. The model was based on assumptions about the building enclosure following a review of available documentation and a facility walkthrough, and accounts only for energy associated with the existing and proposed HVAC systems. Other electricity loads, such as lighting and plug loads, are not included in the analysis. The model also assumes that the heat pump system will provide all of the annual heating demand, although legacy systems will remain as backup.

| Fue | l type | | Base case Proposed case | | Proposed case Projecte | | nnual savings |
|-------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------|---------------|
| Fuel type | Rate ¹ | Consumption | Cost | Consumption | Cost | Saved | Cost savings |
| Electricity | \$0.15 | 279,788 kWh | \$41,968 | 175,433 kWh | \$26,315 | 104,355 kWh | \$15,653 |
| Total | | | \$41,968 | | \$26,315 | | \$15,653 |

The next page presents the fuel consumption and cost summaries in a bar chart format.

¹ The fuel rate (price per unit fuel) is an overall blended rate which includes all associated fees.





MODELLED ENERGY AND FUEL COST OUTPUT SUMMARY



² No natural gas used.





MODELLED SAVINGS SUMMARY IN TERMS OF ENERGY

| | Heating | Cooling | Electricity | Total |
|-----------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Base case | 202,836 kWh | 22,038 kWh | 54,914 kWh | 279,788 kWh |
| Proposed case | 106,608 kWh | 13,911 kWh | 54,914 kWh | 175,433 kWh |
| Fuel saved | 96,228 kWh | 8,127 kWh | 0 kWh | 104,355 kWh |
| Percentage fuel saved | 47.4% | 36.9% | 0% | 37.3% |

MODELLED BENCHMARKING SUMMARY

| | Heating | Cooling | Electricity | Total |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|
| | kWh/ft² | kWh/ft² | kWh/ft² | kWh/ft² |
| NRCan benchmark ³ | - | - | _ | 23.5 |
| Base case | 12.2 | 1.3 | 3.3 | 16.8 |
| Proposed case | 6.4 | 0.84 | 3.3 | 10.5 |
| Fuel saved | 5.8 | 0.49 | 0 | 6.3 |

³ Survey of Energy Consumption on Multi-Unit Residential Buildings, 2018 (Year of Construction 1960 to 1969) from Natural Resources Canada – Office of Energy Efficiency.





MODELLED GREENHOUSE GAS (GHG) EMISSION REDUCTION ANALYSIS

EMISSION ANALYSIS

Base case electricity system

| Country – region | Fuel type | GHG emission factor ⁴ (excl. T&D) tCO ₂ /MWh | T&D los | ses % | GHG emission factor tCO ₂ /MWh |
|----------------------------|-------------|---|--|-----------------------|---|
| Canada – Ontario | Electricity | 0.030 | | 7.0 | 0.032 |
| Annual GHG emiss | ions | Tonnes of ca | rbon dioxide | | |
| Base case | | | 9.0 | | |
| Proposed case | | | 5.7 | | |
| Gross annual GHG reduction | emission | Tonnes of ca equi | rbon dioxide valent (tCO ₂) | Percentage in savings | |
| | | | 3.4 | | 37.3 |
| 10 | | | | | Gross annual GHG emission reduction (37.3%) |
| | Base | case Propo | sed case | | |

⁴ Emission Factors and Reference Values Version 2.0, Environment and Climate Change Canada, May 2024

